

Weak solutions to Fokker-Planck equations and Mean Field Games

Alessio Porretta
Universita' di Roma Tor Vergata

Laboratoire J.-L. Lions, Paris VI
March 6, 2015

Outlines of the talk

- Brief description of the Mean Field Games equilibrium system.
Coupling viscous Hamilton-Jacobi & Fokker-Planck.
- Local coupling \rightarrow weak solutions.
- A weak setting for Fokker-Planck (uniqueness, renormalization)
- Uniqueness for mean field games
- The planning problem: an optimal transport for stochastic dynamics
- Vanishing viscosity and first order case

Mean Field Games

The Mean Field Games theory was introduced by Lasry-Lions and Huang-Caines-Malhamé since 2006.

Main goal: *describe dynamics with large numbers (a continuum) of agents whose strategies depend on the distribution law*

Typical features of the model:

- **players act according to the same principles** (they are indistinguishable and have the same optimization criteria).
- players have individually a minor (infinitesimal) influence, but **their strategy takes into account the distribution of co-players**.

Idea: introduce a macroscopic description through a mean field approach as the number of players $N \rightarrow \infty$.

→ Limit of Nash equilibria of symmetric N -players games will satisfy a system of PDEs **coupling individual strategies with the distribution law**

The simplest form of the macroscopic model is a coupled system in a time horizon T :

$$\begin{cases} (1) & -u_t - \Delta u + H(t, x, Du) = F(t, x, m) \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ (2) & m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(t, x, Du)) = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where H_p stands for $\frac{\partial H(t, x, p)}{\partial p}$.

- (1) is the Bellman equation for the agents' value function u .
- (2) is the Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck equation for the distribution of agents. $m(t)$ is the probability density of the state of players at time t .

Typically: $p \mapsto H(t, x, p)$ is convex.

Model ex: $H \simeq \gamma(t, x)|Du|^q$.

Roughly, each agent controls the dynamics of a N -d Brownian motion

$$dX_t = \beta_t dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t,$$

in order to minimize, among controls β_t , some cost:

$$\inf J(\beta) := \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T [L(X_s, \beta_s) + F(X_s, m(s))] ds + G(X_T, m(T)) \right\}$$

where $m(t)$ is the probability measure in \mathbb{R}^N induced by the law of X_t .

The associated Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation is

$$-u_t - \Delta u + H(x, Du) = F(x, m(t))$$

where $H = \sup_{\beta} [-\beta \cdot p - L(x, \beta)]$. The HJB eq. gives

- the best value $\inf_{\beta} J(\beta) = \int u(x, 0) dm_0(x)$, where m_0 is the probability distribution of X_0 .
- the optimal control through the feedback law: $\beta_t^* = b(t, X_t)$, where $b(t, x) = -H_p(x, Du(t, x))$.

Recall: given a drift-diffusion process

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t$$

the probability measure $m(t)$ (distribution law of X_t) satisfies

$$m_t - \Delta m + \operatorname{div}(bm) = 0$$

in a weak sense

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(t, x) m(t, x) dx dt + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} m(\tau, x) L^* \varphi dx d\tau = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(0) m_0$$
$$\forall \varphi \in C^2, \forall t > 0$$

where $L^* := \partial_t - \Delta - b \cdot D$ and $m(0) =$ initial distribution of X_0 .

Hence, the evolution of the state of the agents is governed by their optimal decisions $b_t^* = -H_p(\cdot, Du(\cdot))$:

$$m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(x, Du)) = 0$$

This is the Mean Field Games system (with horizon T):

$$\begin{cases} (1) & -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, Du) = F(x, m) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ (2) & m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(x, Du)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \end{cases}$$

usually complemented with **initial-terminal conditions**:

$-m(0) = m_0$ (initial distribution of the agents)

$-u(T) = G(x, m(T))$ (final pay-off)

+ boundary conditions (here for simplicity assume periodic b.c.)

Main novelties are:

- the **backward-forward structure**.
- the interaction in the strategy process: **the coupling $F(x, m)$**

Rmk 1: This is not the most general structure.

Cost criterion $L(X_t, \alpha_t, m(t)) \rightarrow H(x, m, Du)$.

Rmk 2: In special cases, the system has a variational structure (so-called *mean field control systems*) \rightarrow optimality system

Two coupling regimes are usually considered:

(i) **Nonlocal coupling with smoothing effect** (ex. convolution):
 $F, G : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are **smoothing** on the space of probability measures. Ex: $F(x, m) = \Phi(x, k \star m)$

→ **solutions are smooth** & **Uniqueness** of smooth solutions if
 $m \mapsto F(x, m)$ increasing, $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ convex [Lasry-Lions].

Proof: Take $(u_1, m_1), (u_2, m_2)$ solutions,

$$-(u_1 - u_2)_t - \Delta(u_1 - u_2) + [H(Du_1) - H(Du_2)] = F(m_1) - F(m_2) \quad \times (m_1 - m_2)$$

$$(m_1 - m_2)_t - \Delta(m_1 - m_2) - \operatorname{div} [m_1 H_p(Du_1) - m_2 H_p(Du_2)] = 0 \quad \times (u_1 - u_2)$$

Subtract → second order disappear by duality....:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} m_1 [H(x, Du_2) - H(x, Du_1) - H_p(Du_1) D(u_2 - u_1)] \, dxdt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} m_2 [H(x, Du_1) - H(x, Du_2) - H_p(Du_2) D(u_1 - u_2)] \, dxdt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} [F(x, m_1) - F(x, m_2)] [m_1 - m_2] \, dxdt \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} [(u_1 - u_2)(m_1 - m_2)] \, dx \Big|_0^T \end{aligned}$$

..... = 0 from initial-terminal conditions

(ii) **Local coupling**: $F = F(x, m(t, x))$.

→ regularity of sol.'s is very difficult and mostly unknown.

- Existence of **smooth solutions** if:
 - (i) the Hamiltonian H is globally Lipschitz
 - (ii) the coupling $m \mapsto F(x, m)$ has a mild growth or $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ has a mild growth
([Lasry-Lions], [Gomes-Pimentel-Sanchez Morgado])
- ([Cardaliaguet-Lasry-Lions-P.]) In the model case (purely quadratic) $H(x, p) = |p|^2$, solutions are smooth for any $F(x, m) \geq 0$.
- ([Lasry-Lions]) Existence of **weak solutions under much more general growth conditions** (ex: $F(x, m) \geq 0$ + any power growth w.r.t. m).

Goal: build a complete theory of weak solutions (existence, uniqueness, stability...)

Motivations:

- Convergence of numerical schemes
(cfr. [Achdou-Capuzzo Dolcetta], [Achdou-Camilli-Capuzzo Dolcetta])
- Convergence of long time asymptotics
(cfr. [Cardaliaguet-Lasry-Lions-P.])

Most results were proved assuming to reach smooth solutions. But any stability argument will naturally get at weak solutions....

- Characterize solutions to the planning problem (prescribed initial and final densities $m(0)$ and $m(T)$):

$$\begin{cases} -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, \nabla u) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div} (m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0, \\ m(0) = m_0, \quad m(T) = m_1 \end{cases}$$

Here, no condition is assumed on u at time T .

This is an optimal transport model for the distribution law m of the stochastic flow.

The model case $H(x, Du) = \frac{1}{2}|Du|^2$ was solved by P.L. Lions through a change of unknown using the Hopf-Cole transform. Numerical schemes were studied in [Achdou-Camilli-Dolcetta '12].

In general, solutions (obtained through singular limit of standard MFG systems) can only be proved to be weak.

Main difficulties:

1. The typical setting for well-posedness of

$$(FP) \quad m_t - \Delta m + \operatorname{div}(m b) = 0 \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega, \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$$

is

$$b \in L^\infty(0, T; L^N(\Omega)), \quad \text{or} \quad b \in L^{N+2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$$

or in general $b \in L^r(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$ with $\frac{N}{2r} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2}$

([Aronson-Serrin] see also [Ladysenskaya-Solonnikov-Uraltseva]).

Under those conditions, plenty of results in the literature (linear and nonlinear operators) & rigorous connections between FP equation and stochastic flow (ex. [Krylov-Röckner '07], [Figalli '08]).

Pb. **MFGames**: $b = H_p(x, Du) \simeq |Du|^{q-1}$ is in the right class only if q is small or Du highly integrable

2. Uniqueness may fail for unbounded solutions of HJB:

$$\exists u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1), u \neq 0 \text{ sol. of } \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + |Du|^2 = 0 \\ u(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Counterexamples are constructed as $u = \log(1 + v)$, v solutions to

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \Delta v = \chi \\ v(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

provided χ is a concentrated measure (ex. $\chi = \delta_{x_0}$)
([Abdellaoui-Dall'Aglio-Peral]).

Back to MFG system:

$$\begin{cases} -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, Du) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(x, Du)) = 0, \end{cases}$$

Summary:

- (i) HJB has no uniqueness of weak solutions
- (ii) The drift in FP is not known to have the right summability

Desperate situation ?.....

$$m [H_p(x, Du)Du - H(x, Du)] \in L^1(Q_T) \quad (1)$$

which comes from optimization:

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} L(x, H_p(x, Du)) m dx dt \simeq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T L(X_t, H_p(X_t, Du(t, X_t))) dt \right] < \infty$$

Ex: (model case) $H(x, p)$ quadratic $\rightarrow H_p(x, Du)Du - H(x, Du) \simeq |Du|^2$

$$(1) \Rightarrow H_p(x, Du) \in L^2(m), \quad \text{i.e. } m |H_p(x, Du)|^2 \in L^1$$

Uniqueness for Fokker-Planck

Key point: we can consider solutions of Fokker-Planck

$$m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(bm) = 0$$

such that $m \geq 0$, $m|b|^2 \in L^1$

In this framework, we can prove:

① Weak (=distributional) solutions of (FP) are unique in this class

② Weak solutions are renormalized solutions;

(in the sense of [Di Perna-Lions], extended to second order, see [Boccardo-Diaz-Giachetti-Murat], [Lions-Murat], [Blanchard-Murat])

Moreover, we show that solutions can be regularized and obtained as limit of smooth solutions.

Rmk: The importance of the class $\{m : b \in L^2(m)\}$ was also stressed in [Bogachev-Da Prato-Röckner '11], [Bogachev-Krylov-Röckner]

The typical statement is the following (adapted to Dirichlet, Neumann, or to entire space \mathbb{R}^N under suitable modifications)

Theorem (P. '14)

Let $b \in L^2(Q_T)^N$ and $m_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then the problem

$$\begin{cases} m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m b) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ m(0) = m_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \\ + BC \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

admits *at most one weak sol.* $m \in L^1(Q_T)_+$: $m|b|^2 \in L^1(Q_T)$.

Moreover, in this case *any weak solution is a renormalized solution*, belongs to $C^0([0, T]; L^1)$ and satisfies (for a suitable truncation $T_k(\cdot)$):

$$(T_k(m))_t - \Delta T_k(m) - \operatorname{div}(T'_k(m)m b) = \omega_k, \quad \text{in } Q_T \quad (3)$$

where $\omega_k \in L^1(Q_T)$, and $\omega_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ in $L^1(Q_T)$.

A nonlinear look at a linear equation

- The equivalence *weak=renormalized* follows from a nonlinear argument.

(i) If $m|b|^2 \in L^1$, then

$$m = \lim_{\varepsilon} m^{\varepsilon},$$

$$\begin{cases} m_t^{\varepsilon} - \Delta m^{\varepsilon} - \operatorname{div}(\sqrt{m^{\varepsilon}} B^{\varepsilon}) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ m^{\varepsilon}(0) = m_0, & + \text{BC} \end{cases}$$

provided

$$B^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{L^2} \sqrt{m} b$$

(ii) The sequence m^{ε} converges in $C^0([0, T]; L^1)$ and produces a renormalized solution

- This is a very general principle for convection-diffusion problems (e.g. nonlinear $Am = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, m, Dm))$)

$$\begin{cases} m_t^\varepsilon + Am^\varepsilon = \operatorname{div}(\phi(t, x, m^\varepsilon)) & \text{in } Q_T \\ m^\varepsilon(0) = m_0^\varepsilon, +\text{BC} \end{cases}$$

we have: if

$$|\phi(t, x, m)| \leq c(1 + \sqrt{m})k(t, x), \quad k \in L^2(Q_T) \quad (4)$$

then

$$m_0^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{L^1} m_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} m^\varepsilon \rightarrow m & \text{in } C^0([0, T]; L^1) \\ T_k(m^\varepsilon) \rightarrow T_k(m) & \text{in } L^2([0, T]; H^1) \end{cases}$$

and m is renormalized solution relative to m_0 .

- One can apply this idea even in the Di Perna-Lions approach, regularizing m by convolution:

$$m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m b) = 0 \quad \star \rho_\varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow m^\varepsilon := m \star \rho_\varepsilon \quad \text{solves}$$

$$m_t^\varepsilon - \Delta m_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}((m b) \star \rho_\varepsilon) = 0$$

where Schwartz's inequality + $m \geq 0$ imply

$$|(m b) \star \rho_\varepsilon| \leq \underbrace{(m \star \rho_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\sqrt{m^\varepsilon}} \underbrace{((m|b|^2) \star \rho_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{B^\varepsilon}$$

with B^ε converging in $L^2(Q_T)$.

→ for purely second order operators, no need of commutators !

Summary on FP:

- the class of **weak solutions** m such that $m|b|^2 \in L^1$ gives: uniqueness, renormalized formulation, solutions obtained by regularization, estimates. Ex:

$$m_0 > 0, \log m_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \log m(t) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega),$$

hence $m(t) > 0$ a.e.

- the class $m|b|^2 \in L^1$ is consistent with the stochastic flow:

we are considering only trajectories X_t along which the drift is L^2 -integrable:

$$\int_0^T [\mathbb{E}|b(X_t)|^2] dt < \infty$$

Possible development: one should prove uniqueness in law for (SDE) under this condition and establish rigorously the connection with a stochastic flow

$$\begin{cases} -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, \nabla u) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0, \\ u(T) = G(x, m(T)), \quad m(0) = m_0 \end{cases}$$

- $F, G \in C^0(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$
 - $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is convex and satisfies structure conditions
- Ex: $H \simeq \gamma(t, x)|\nabla u|^q$, $q \leq 2$.

Def. of weak solutions:

- $u, m \in C^0([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$, $m|Du|^q \in L^1$
- $G(x, m(T)) \in L^1(\Omega)$, $H(x, Du) \in L^1$, $F(x, m) \in L^1$,
- the equations hold in the sense of distributions.

Theorem (case $q = 2$)

Assume that $m \mapsto G(x, m)$ is nondecreasing, and let $m_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)_+$.

(i) If F, G are bounded below, then there exists a weak solution.

(ii) If in addition $m \mapsto F(x, m)$ is nondecreasing, $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is strictly convex (at infinity), and $\log m_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then there is a unique weak solution.

Rmk: The coupling functions F, G have no growth restriction from above

- The case $F = F(x)$ is included !! New viewpoint for

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + H(x, Du) = F(x) \\ u_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

Uniqueness $\iff m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(H_p(x, Du)m) = 0$ admits a sol. m with $H_p(Du) \in L^2(m)$.

\rightarrow new uniqueness results even with $F, u_0 \in L^1$.

Proof requires previous results for Fokker-Planck and the following crucial lemma

Lemma (crossed integrability)

Given any two weak solutions (u_1, m_1) and (u_2, m_2) , we have

$$F(m_i)m_j \in L^1(Q_T), \quad m_i |Du_j|^2 \in L^1(Q_T), \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2. \quad (5)$$

Uniqueness is then proved with all the ingredients:

- m is a weak solution to FP with drift $H_p(x, Du) \in L^2(m)$
→ m is unique and is a renormalized sol.

In addition, $m > 0$ a.e. provided $\log m_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$.

- Since $u_t - \Delta u \in L^1(Q_T)$, a weak solution u is also renormalized (L^1 theory...)
- Apply the Lasry-Lions argument to the renormalized system
- Pass to the limit thanks to the crossed integrability lemma

Similar result holds for $q < 2$ with minor variations.

Theorem (case $q < 2$)

Let $m_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)_+$.

(i) If F, G are bounded below, there exists a weak solution.

(ii) Assume in addition that $m \mapsto F(x, m), G(x, m)$ are nondecreasing and

$$F(x, m) \simeq f(m), \quad G(x, m) \simeq g(m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

where $f(s)$ s and $g(s)$ s are convex.

If $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is strictly convex (at infinity), and $\log m_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then there is a unique weak solution which is bounded below.

- We also have **robust stability results** on the nonlinearities

(i) $F^\varepsilon(x, s) \rightarrow F(x, s), G^\varepsilon \rightarrow G(x, s), H^\varepsilon(x, p) \rightarrow H(x, p)$ (under uniform structure assumptions)

(ii) $m_{0\varepsilon} \rightarrow m_0$

Then $(u^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (u, m)$ weak solutions.

- [joint work with Y. Achdou] Existence of weak solutions can be proved from the convergence of numerical schemes.

We use finite differences approximations of the mean field games system as in [Achdou-Capuzzo Dolcetta]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{u_{i,j}^{k+1} - u_{i,j}^k}{\Delta t} - (\Delta_h u^k)_{i,j} + g(x_{i,j}, [\nabla_h u^k]_{i,j}) = F(m_{i,j}^{k+1}), \\ \frac{m_{i,j}^{k+1} - m_{i,j}^k}{\Delta t} - (\Delta_h m^{k+1})_{i,j} + \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(u^k, m^{k+1}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where g is a monotone approximation of the Hamiltonian H as in upwind schemes:

Ex (1-d): $g = g\left(\frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{h}, \frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{h}\right)$ with $g(p_1, p_2)$ increasing in p_2 and decreasing in p_1 , $g(q, q) = H(q)$.

while \mathcal{T} is the discrete adjoint of the associated linearized transport:

$$\mathcal{T}(v, m) \cdot w = m g_p([\nabla_h v]) \cdot [\nabla_h w]$$

Similar structure allows to have discrete estimates and compactness as in the continuous model.

The planning problem

Further application to Mean Field Games: the “(stochastic) optimal transport problem”:

$$\begin{cases} -u_t - \Delta u + H(x, \nabla u) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div} (m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0, \\ m(0) = m_0, m(T) = m_1 \end{cases}$$

Here, no condition is assumed on u at time T .

This is an optimal transport model for the distribution law m of the stochastic flow.

Ex: (model case $H = \frac{1}{2}|Du|^2$, $F = F(m)$):

$$\min_{\alpha \in L^2(m \, dxdt)} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2 m \, dxdt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Phi(m) \, dxdt, \quad [\Phi = \int_0^s F(r) \, dr]$$
$$\begin{cases} m_t - \Delta m - \operatorname{div} (\alpha m) = 0 \\ m(0) = m_0, m(T) = m_1 \end{cases}$$

(compare with deterministic case, $F \equiv 0$ [Benamou-Brenier])

Theorem (P. '13)

Under the above assumptions on F, H . Let $m_0, m_1 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, $m_0, m_1 > 0$, $\int_{\Omega} m_0 dx = \int_{\Omega} m_1 dx = 1$. Then, *there exists a weak solution (u, m) of the planning problem.*

If in addition $H(x, \cdot)$ is strictly convex, then the weak solution (u, m) is uniquely characterized: m is unique, u is unique up to a constant.

- Uniqueness follows from the same method as before.
- Smoothness of solutions is open.
- Existence is not easy: this is an exact controllability result (bilinear control) with representation of the optimal control. Ex. is obtained from penalized MFG systems:

$$\begin{cases} -(u_{\varepsilon})_t - \Delta u_{\varepsilon} + H(x, Du_{\varepsilon}) = F(x, m_{\varepsilon}) & \text{in } Q_T \\ (m_{\varepsilon})_t - \Delta m_{\varepsilon} - \operatorname{div}(m_{\varepsilon} H_p(x, Du_{\varepsilon})) = 0 & \text{in } Q_T \\ m_{\varepsilon}(0) = m_0, \quad u_{\varepsilon}(T) = \frac{m_{\varepsilon}(T) - m_1}{\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

Here we use the variational structure..

1. The structure of Hamiltonian system gives a kind of **observability inequality**: any solution (u, m) satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du(0)|^2 dx \leq C \left\{ \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} m |Du|^2 dxdt + 1 \right\} \quad (6)$$

where $C = C(T, H, m_0)$.

2. Coupling the **energy estimates of the system** with the **observability inequality**, we end up with a uniform bound

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{bounded, uniformly in } [0, T]$$

and in particular

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|m_{\varepsilon}(T) - m_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C$$

so

$$m_{\varepsilon}(T) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} m_1$$

and the target will be achieved !!

Vanishing viscosity and first order case

[joint work with Cardaliaguet, Graber & Tonon]

The **vanishing viscosity** limit is possible at least for $F(x, m) \simeq m^\gamma$ and leads to **weak solutions of the first order system** in the sense:

(i) u is a distributional **subsolution**:

$$-u_t + H(x, \nabla u) \leq F(x, m)$$

(ii) m is a distributional solution for the continuity equation

$$m_t - \operatorname{div} (m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0$$

(iii) $mH(x, Du), F(x, m)m \in L^1$ and the energy equality holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} m F(x, m) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} m \{H_p(x, Du) Du - H(x, Du)\} dx dt \\ = \int_{\Omega} m_0 u(0) - \int_{\Omega} u_T m(T) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (CGPT '15)

Assume that

(i) $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is strictly convex and $H(x, p) \simeq |p|^q$ at infinity, $q > 1$.

(ii) $m \mapsto F(x, m)$ is increasing and $F(x, m) \simeq m^\gamma$ at infinity.

Then, for smooth initial-terminal data m_0, u_T the first order system

$$\begin{cases} -u_t + H(x, \nabla u) = F(x, m), \\ m_t - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(x, \nabla u)) = 0, \\ m(0) = m_0, u(T) = u_T \end{cases}$$

admits a unique weak solution (u, m) in the sense that m is unique and u is unique in $\{m > 0\}$.

Moreover, the solution can be obtained from the vanishing viscosity limit.

Ingredients of proof:

- Integral estimates for sub solutions of HJ (possibly degenerate)
- Characterization of the weak solution (u, m) as the unique minimizer of optimal control problem (as in [Cardaliaguet], [Cardaliaguet-Graber]).

Further directions of research

- Use the PDE results on weak solutions to prove **uniqueness in law** for the associated trajectories of the SDE:

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t$$

- More general MFG models, e.x. congestion models: $H = \frac{|Du|^2}{m^\alpha}$
- Optimal transport: a bridge with the **deterministic case** ?

$$\begin{cases} -u_t + H(x, Du) = F(x, m) \\ m_t - \operatorname{div}(m H_p(x, Du)) = 0 \\ m(0) = m_0, \quad m(T) = m_1 \end{cases}$$

$-F = 0 \rightarrow$ optimal transport ([Benamou-Brenier], [Villani],...).

$-F = F(m)$ increasing \rightarrow results by P.L. Lions (totally different method).

General results ? Is there some unifying framework ?

References.

- 1 P. Cardaliaguet, J.-M. Lasry, P.-L. Lions, A. Porretta, *Long time average of mean field games*, Net. Heter. Media **7** (2012).
- 2 A. Porretta, *On the planning problem for a class of Mean Field Games*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **351** (2013).
- 3 A. Porretta, *On the planning problem for the Mean Field Games system*, Dynamic Games and Applications **4** (2014).
- 4 A. Porretta, *Weak solutions to Fokker-Planck equations and Mean Field Games*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **216** (2015).
- 5 P. Cardaliaguet, J. Graber, A. Porretta, D. Tonon, *Second order mean field games with degenerate diffusion and local coupling*, NoDEA, to appear.
- 6 Y. Achdou, A. Porretta, *Convergence of a finite difference scheme to weak solutions of the system of partial differential equation arising in mean field games*, preprint.

If you want more details... There is a course organized by FSMP at IHP since next monday (<http://www.sciencesmaths-paris.fr>)